

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,882	CHUANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764

All Participants:

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) David I. Klein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called initially to request authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors. Subsequently, it was realized that a nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting existed and suggested a terminal disclaimer. It was decided that an Office action should be prepared..